IC/2001/146
hep-th/0111118

A Varying-e Brane World Cosmology

Donam Youm[1]

ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy

arXiv:hep-th/0111118v1 13 Nov 2001

Abstract

We study a varying electric charge brane world cosmology in the RS2 model obtained from a varying-speed-of-light brane world cosmology by redefining the system of units. We elaborate conditions under which the flatness problem and the cosmological constant problem can be resolved by such cosmological model.

November, 2001

[1]E-mail: youmd@ictp.trieste.it

The recent observational evidence [1, 2, 3] for time-varying fine-structure constant $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\hbar c)$ prompted renewed interest in cosmological models where a fundamental constant of nature, e.g., the speed of light c or the electric charge e, varies with time. Variable-Speed-of-Light (VSL) cosmological models [4, 5] were proposed also as an alternative to inflation [6, 7, 8] for resolving [4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] the cosmological problems of the Standard Big Bang model. The original VSL models by Moffat [4] and by Albrecht and Magueijo [5] assume that the speed of light, a constant in the action, varies with time during an early period of cosmic evolution, thereby the Lorentz symmetry becoming explicitly broken. The bimetric models, later proposed by Clayton and Moffat [13, 15, 17, 18], provide a mechanism by which the speed of light can vary with time in a diffeomorphism invariant manner without explicitly breaking the Lorentz symmetry. (See also Ref. [19] for an independent development.) Alternatively, a varying-α cosmological model where the electric charge e varies with time in the manner described by the varying-α theory of Bekenstein [20] was also studied [21] in an attempt to give theoretical explanation for currently observed time-varying α.

Previously, we studied [22, 23, 24] the VSL cosmologies in the Randall-Sundrum (RS) scenarios [25, 26], following the approaches of the VSL models with varying fundamental constant and the bimetric model of Clayton and Moffat. We studied conditions under which the cosmological problems can be resolved by such VSL brane world cosmologies. In particular, it was shown that the cosmological constant problem in the RS brane world cosmologies can be resolved by the VSL theories. So, the VSL models can be used to bring the corrections to the fine-tuned brane tensions under control. The VSL models in the brane world scenarios are of interest, also because of the recent works [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34] indicating the Lorentz violation in the brane world scenarios. We also studied [35] the brane world cosmology in the RS model where the electric charge varies with time in the manner described by the varying-α theory of Bekenstein [20].

In this paper, we study the varying-e brane world cosmology which is related to the VSL brane world cosmology through the change of system of units. We consider the Randall-Sundrum (RS) brane world model with one positive tension brane and infinite extra dimensions, i.e., the RS2 model [26]. We summarize the VSL cosmology in the RS2 model studied in Ref. [22]. Then, we redefine the system of units to map the VSL brane world cosmology to the dual varying-e brane world cosmology. We find that the flatness and the cosmological constant problems can be resolved by such varying-e cosmological model, provided the dielectric field varies rapidly enough.

We begin by discussing the VSL brane world cosmology studied in Ref. [22]. If the speed of light c is variable, then the Lorentz invariance becomes explicitly broken. Therefore, it is postulated in VSL models that there exists a preferred Lorentz frame in which the laws of physics simplify. In such preferred frame, the action is assumed to be given by the standard action with a constant c is replaced by a field $c(x^\mu)$, the so-called *principle of minimal coupling*. In a preferred Lorentz frame, the action for

the VSL cosmology in the RS2 model is given by

$$S = \int d^5x \left[\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left(\frac{\psi}{16\pi G_5}\mathcal{R} - \Lambda\right) + \mathcal{L}_\psi\right] + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\mathcal{L}_{\rm mat} - \sigma\right], \tag{1}$$

where σ is the tension of the 3-brane (assumed to be located at the origin $y = 0$ of the extra spatial coordinate y), a scalar field $\psi(x^M) \equiv c^4(x^M)$ is defined out of varying speed of light $c(x^M)$, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ for ψ controls the dynamics of ψ, and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm mat}$ is the Lagrangian density of matter fields confined on the brane. It is required that $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ should be explicitly independent of the other fields, including the metric, so that the principle of minimal coupling continues to hold for the equations of motion.

Since ψ is assumed to be minimally coupled, the Einstein's equations take the conventional forms with the constant c replaced by a field $c(x^M)$:

$$\mathcal{G}_{MN} = \frac{8\pi G_5}{\psi}\mathcal{T}_{MN}, \tag{2}$$

with the energy-momentum tensor given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{MN} = -\hat{g}_{MN}\Lambda + \delta^\mu_M\delta^\nu_N\left(\mathcal{T}^{\rm mat}_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\sigma\right)\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}\delta(y). \tag{3}$$

Here, the energy-momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}^{\rm mat}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{\rm mat})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$ for the brane matter fields in the comoving frame has the usual perfect fluid form:

$$\mathcal{T}^{\rm mat}{}^\mu_{\ \nu} = {\rm diag}\left(-\varrho c^2, \wp, \wp, \wp\right), \tag{4}$$

where ϱ and $\wp$ are the mass density and the pressure of the brane matter fields.

The general bulk metric Ansatz for the expanding brane universe where the principles of homogeneity and isotropy in the three-dimensional subspace are satisfied is

$$\hat{g}_{MN}dx^Mdx^N = -n^2(t,y)c^2dt^2 + a^2(t,y)\gamma_{ij}dx^idx^j + b^2(t,y)dy^2, \tag{5}$$

where γ_{ij} is the metric for the maximally symmetric three-dimensional space given by

$$\gamma_{ij}dx^idx^j = \left(1 + \frac{k}{4}\delta_{mn}x^mx^n\right)^{-2}\delta_{ij}dx^idx^j = \frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\psi^2), \tag{6}$$

with $k = -1, 0, 1$ respectively for the three-dimensional spaces with the negative, zero and positive spatial curvatures. Then, the Einstein's equations (2) take the forms:

$$\frac{3}{c^2n^2}\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right) - \frac{3}{b^2}\left[\frac{a'}{a}\left(\frac{a'}{a} - \frac{b'}{b}\right) + \frac{a''}{a}\right] + \frac{3k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_5}{c^4}\left[\Lambda + (\sigma + \varrho c^2)\frac{\delta(y)}{b}\right], \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{1}{b^2}\left[\frac{a'}{a}\left(2\frac{n'}{n} + \frac{a'}{a}\right) - \frac{b'}{b}\left(\frac{n'}{n} + 2\frac{a'}{a}\right) + 2\frac{a''}{a} + \frac{n''}{n}\right] +$$

$$\frac{1}{c^2n^2}\left[\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(2\frac{\dot{n}}{n}-\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\left(\frac{\dot{n}}{n}-2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)-2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}-\frac{\ddot{b}}{b}\right]-\frac{k}{a^2}=-\frac{8\pi G_5}{c^4}\left[\Lambda+(\sigma-\wp)\frac{\delta(y)}{b}\right], \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{n'}{n}\frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\frac{a'}{a}\frac{\dot{b}}{b}-\frac{\dot{a}'}{a}=0, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{3}{b^2}\frac{a'}{a}\left(\frac{a'}{a}+\frac{n'}{n}\right)-\frac{3}{c^2n^2}\left[\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{n}}{n}\right)+\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right]-\frac{3k}{a^2}=-\frac{8\pi G_5}{c^4}\Lambda, \quad (10)$$

where the overdot and the prime respectively denote derivatives w.r.t. t and y. Although the metric components are continuous everywhere, their derivatives w.r.t. y are discontinuous at $y=0$ due to the δ-function like brane source there. The following boundary conditions on the first derivatives at $y=0$ are determined by Eqs. (7,8):

$$\frac{[a']_0}{a_0b_0}=-\frac{8\pi G_5}{3c^4}(\sigma+\varrho c^2), \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{[n']_0}{n_0b_0}=-\frac{8\pi G_5}{3c^4}(\sigma-3\wp-2\varrho c^2), \quad (12)$$

where the subscript 0 denotes quantities evaluated at $y=0$, e.g., $a_0(t)\equiv a(t,0)$, and $[F]_0\equiv F(0^+)-F(0^-)$ denotes the *jump* of $F(y)$ across $y=0$.

The effective four-dimensional Friedmann equations on the 3-brane can be obtained [36] by taking the jumps and the mean values of the five-dimensional Einstein's equations (7-10) across $y=0$ and then applying the boundary conditions (11,12). We assume that the radius of the extra space is stable, i.e., $\dot{b}=0$, and the y-coordinate is defined so that $b=1$. The resulting effective Friedmann equations have the forms:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}\right)^2=\frac{16\pi^2G_5^2}{9c^6}(\varrho^2c^4+2\sigma\varrho c^2)+\frac{\mathcal{C}c^2}{a_0^4}+\frac{4\pi G_5}{3c^2}\left(\Lambda+\frac{4\pi G_5}{3c^4}\sigma^2\right)-\frac{kc^2}{a_0^2}, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}_0}{a_0}=-\frac{16\pi^2G_5^2}{9c^6}(2\varrho^2c^4+\sigma\varrho c^2+3\sigma\wp+3\wp\varrho c^2)-\frac{\mathcal{C}c^2}{a_0^4}+\frac{4\pi G_5}{3c^2}\left(\Lambda+\frac{4\pi G_5}{3c^4}\sigma^2\right), \quad (14)$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is an integration constant. The $\mathcal{C}$-term (called "dark radiation" term) originates from the Weyl tensor of the bulk and thus describes the backreaction of the bulk gravitational degress of freedom on the brane [36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

In the limit of $\sigma\gg\varrho c^2,\wp$ [41, 42] and with an assumption of the fine-tuned brane tension $\sigma=\sqrt{-\frac{3c^4}{4\pi G_5}\Lambda}$, the effective Fredmann equations (13,14) take the following forms of the conventional cosmology:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}\right)^2=\frac{8\pi G_4}{3}\varrho+\frac{\mathcal{C}c^2}{a_0^4}-\frac{kc^2}{a_0^2}, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}_0}{a_0}=-\frac{4\pi G_4}{3}(\varrho+3\frac{\wp}{c^2})-\frac{\mathcal{C}c^2}{a_0^4}, \quad (16)$$

where the effective four-dimensional Newton's constant given by

$$G_4 = \frac{8\pi G_5^2 \sigma}{3c^4}. \tag{17}$$

From these effective Friedmann equations, we obtain the following generalized energy conservation equation:

$$\dot{\varrho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}\left(\varrho + \frac{\wp}{c^2}\right) = -\frac{\dot{G}_4}{G_4}\varrho + \frac{3kc\dot{c}}{4\pi G_4 a_0^2} - \frac{3\mathcal{C}c\dot{c}}{4\pi G_4 a_0^4}. \tag{18}$$

So, while G_4 or c varies with time, the matter is created on or taken out of the brane universe.

We now map the VSL brane world cosmology discussed in the above to the dual varying-e brane world cosmology by changing the system of units. As was pointed out in Ref. [5], it makes sense only to talk about constancy or variability of dimensionless ratios of dimensionful quantities, since the measured values of dimensionful quantities are actually the ratios to some standard units, which may vary with time. Depending on a choice of units, we can regard time-variation of a dimensionless ratio as being due to any subset of dimensionful quantities forming the dimensionless ratio. For example, we can regard the time-variation of $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\hbar c)$ as being due to the time variation of either c (and $\hbar$) or e, depending on the choice of units. Furthermore, it is always possible to redefine system of units such that a given model is mapped to the dual model where a different subset of dimensionful quantities varies with time. We redefine the system of units such that the speed of light remains constant and the electric charge varies with time. Quantities in the system of units where the speed of light c varies with time and the electric charge e remains constant are denoted without hat. Those in the units where the electric charge varies with time and the speed of light remains constant are denoted with hat. We relate these two systems of units in the following way (Cf. Ref. [10]):

$$c^2 dt = \hat{c}^2 d\hat{t}, \qquad c dx = \hat{c} d\hat{x}, \qquad \frac{dE}{c^3} = \frac{d\hat{E}}{\hat{c}^3}. \tag{19}$$

To find the relations between dimensionful quantities in the two systems of units, we consider the following dimensionless ratios of the dimensionful quantities:

$$\frac{cdt}{dx} = \frac{\hat{c}d\hat{t}}{d\hat{x}}, \qquad \frac{\hbar}{dEdt} = \frac{\hat{\hbar}}{d\hat{E}d\hat{t}}, \qquad \frac{G_4 dE}{c^4 dx} = \frac{\hat{G}_4 d\hat{E}}{\hat{c}^4 d\hat{x}}, \qquad \frac{e^2}{dEdx} = \frac{\hat{e}^2}{d\hat{E}d\hat{x}}, \tag{20}$$

which take the same values regardless of the system of units chosen. Here, the time-varying speed of light c and the constant speed of light $\hat{c} = c_0$ are assumed to be related by a function $\varepsilon(t)$ of time as $c = \hat{c}\varepsilon$. In the system of units without hat, $\hbar \propto c \propto 1/\sqrt{\alpha}$, so $\hbar = \hat{\hbar}\varepsilon$. The following relations among the remaining dimensionful quantities in the two systems of units can be obtained from Eqs. (19,20):

$$\hat{e} = e/\varepsilon, \qquad \hat{G}_4 = G_4, \tag{21}$$

from which we see that ε can be interpreted as the vacuum dielectric field. Since $c = \hat{c}\varepsilon$, Eq. (19) is equivalent to

$$d\hat{t} = \varepsilon^2 dt, \qquad d\hat{x} = \varepsilon dx, \qquad d\hat{E} = dE/\varepsilon^3. \tag{22}$$

By using this transformation, we can find relations among measurements of any dimensionful quantities in the two systems of units. In particular, the mass densities, the pressures and the brane tensions in the two systems of units are related as

$$\hat{\varrho} = \varrho/\varepsilon^4, \qquad \hat{\wp} = \wp/\varepsilon^6, \qquad \hat{\sigma} = \sigma/\varepsilon^6. \tag{23}$$

From Eqs. (21,23), we see that the five-dimensional Newton's constants in the two systems of units are relationed as $\hat{G}_5 = G_5\varepsilon$.

In the new system of units, in which the speed of light remains constant, the bulk metric (5) takes the form:

$$d\hat{s}^2 = \varepsilon^2 ds^2 = -\hat{n}^2\hat{c}^2 d\hat{t}^2 + \hat{a}^2\hat{\gamma}_{ij}d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j + \hat{b}^2 d\hat{y}^2, \tag{24}$$

where $\hat{n} = n$, $\hat{a} = \varepsilon a$, $\hat{b} = b$, and

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ij}d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j = \left(1 + \frac{\hat{k}}{4}\delta_{mn}\hat{x}^m\hat{x}^n\right)\delta_{ij}d\hat{x}^i d\hat{x}^j = \frac{d\hat{r}^2}{1-\hat{k}\hat{r}^2} + \hat{r}^2\left(d\hat{\theta}^2 + \sin^2\hat{\theta}d\hat{\phi}^2\right). \tag{25}$$

After redefining the units, a spatial coordinate transformation is performed so that $\hat{k} = k = 0, \pm 1$. By applying the above transformations between the two systems of units to Eqs. (13,14), we obtain the following effective Friedmann equations in the new system of units:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0} - \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 = \frac{16\pi^2\hat{G}_5^2}{9c_0^6}(\hat{\varrho}^2c_0^4 + 2\hat{\sigma}\hat{\varrho}c_0^2) + \frac{\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{\hat{a}_0^4} + \frac{4\pi\hat{G}_5}{3c_0^2}\left(\hat{\Lambda} + \frac{4\pi\hat{G}_5}{3c_0^4}\hat{\sigma}^2\right) - \frac{\hat{k}c_0^2}{\hat{a}_0^2}, \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0} - \frac{\ddot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = -\frac{16\pi^2\hat{G}_5^2}{9c_0^6}(2\hat{\varrho}^2c_0^4 + \hat{\sigma}\hat{\varrho}c_0^2 + 3\hat{\sigma}\hat{\wp} + 3\hat{\wp}\hat{\varrho}c_0^2) - \frac{\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{\hat{a}_0^4} + \frac{4\pi\hat{G}_5}{3c_0^2}\left(\hat{\Lambda} + \frac{4\pi\hat{G}_5}{3c_0^4}\hat{\sigma}^2\right), \tag{27}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \varepsilon^2\mathcal{C}$, $\hat{\Lambda} = \Lambda/\varepsilon$ and the overdot from now on denotes derivative w.r.t. $\hat{t}$. In the limit of $\hat{\sigma} \gg \hat{\varrho}c_0^2, \hat{\wp}$, these effective Friedmann equations take the forms:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0} - \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi\hat{G}_4}{3}\hat{\varrho} + \frac{\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{\hat{a}_0^4} - \frac{\hat{k}c_0^2}{\hat{a}_0^2}, \tag{28}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0} - \frac{\ddot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = -\frac{4\pi\hat{G}_4}{3}(\hat{\varrho} + 3\frac{\hat{\wp}}{c_0^2}) - \frac{\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{\hat{a}_0^4}, \tag{29}$$

where $\hat{G}_4 = 8\pi\hat{G}_5^2\hat{\sigma}/3c_0^4$. The energy conservation equation (18) is transformed to

$$\dot{\hat{\varrho}} + 3\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}\left(\hat{\varrho} + \frac{\hat{\wp}}{c_0^2}\right) = \left(3\frac{\hat{\wp}}{c_0^2} - \hat{\varrho} + \frac{3\hat{k}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^2\varepsilon^2} - \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\dot{\hat{G}}_4}{\hat{G}_4}\hat{\varrho}, \tag{30}$$

which can be obtained also by taking the $\hat{t}$-derivatives of Eqs. (28,29).

We now discuss the resolution of cosmological problems by our dual varying-e brane world cosmological model. First, we consider the resolution of the flatness problem. From Eq. (28) we see that the critical mass density $\hat{\varrho}_c$, defined as the mass density of a flat universe ($\hat{k}=0$) for a given Hubble parameter $\dot{\hat{a}}_0/\hat{a}_0$, is given by

$$\hat{\varrho}_c = \frac{3}{8\pi\hat{G}_4}\left[\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}-\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 - \frac{\hat{C}c_0^2}{\hat{a}_0^4}\right]. \tag{31}$$

We measure the deviation of the mass density $\hat{\varrho}$ of the universe from the critical density $\hat{\varrho}_c$ by $\epsilon \equiv \hat{\varrho}/\hat{\varrho}_c - 1$. So, the $\epsilon<0$, $\epsilon=0$ and $\epsilon>0$ cases respectively correspond to the open, flat and closed universes. The $\hat{t}$-derivative of ϵ is given by

$$\dot{\epsilon} = (1+\epsilon)\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}}{\hat{\varrho}} - \frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_c}{\hat{\varrho}_c}\right). \tag{32}$$

We assume that the brane matter fields satisfy the equation of state of the form $\hat{\wp} = w\hat{\varrho}c_0^2$ with a constant w. Then, making use of Eqs. (28-30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}}{\hat{\varrho}} &= -3\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}(1+w) - \frac{\dot{\hat{G}}_4}{\hat{G}_4} + \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\left(3w + \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon+1} - \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4\hat{\varrho}}\right), \\
\frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_c}{\hat{\varrho}_c} &= -\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}[2+(1+\epsilon)(1+3w)] - \frac{\dot{\hat{G}}_4}{\hat{G}_4} + \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\left[(1+\epsilon)(1+3w) - 2 - \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4\hat{\varrho}_c}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Substituting these into Eq. (32), we obtain the following equation describing the time evolution of ϵ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\epsilon} &= (1+\epsilon)\epsilon\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}(1+3w) + \left[2-(1+\epsilon)(1+3w) + \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4\hat{\varrho}_c}\right]\epsilon\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \\
&= (1+\epsilon)\epsilon\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}(1+3w) + \left[\frac{2\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}-\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}-\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 - \frac{\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2\varepsilon^4}{\hat{a}_0^4}} - (1+\epsilon)(1+3w)\right]\epsilon\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

As in the VSL cosmologies, We assume that ε varies rapidly enough such that $|\dot{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon| \gg \dot{\hat{a}}_0/\hat{a}_0$ during the early period of cosmic evolution. Then, Eq. (34) is approximated to

$$\dot{\epsilon} \approx \left[\frac{2\left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 - \frac{\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2\varepsilon^4}{\hat{a}_0^4}} - (1+\epsilon)(1+3w)\right]\epsilon\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}. \tag{35}$$

Due to the upper limit on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ imposed by the nucleosynthesis constraint [37] and the requirement that the dark radiation term should not give a large contribution to the current expansion of the universe, we can neglect the $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$-term in Eq. (35) compared

to $(\dot{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon)^2$. So, the terms in the square bracket of Eq. (35) can be approximated to $2-(1+\epsilon)(1+3w)$. The condition for the flat universe ($\epsilon=0$) to be a stable attractor is therefore $w \leq 1/3$. This condition can be satisfied by the radiation brane matter ($w=1/3$) and the dust ($w=0$), so our varying-e brane world cosmological model solves the flatness problem, if ε varies rapidly enough.

Next, we consider the resolution of the cosmological constant problem. Unlike the case of the standard cosmology, the mass density of the brane matter, satisfying $\hat{\varrho} = -\hat{\wp}/c_0^2$, is not directly related to the cosmological constant, but rather to the brane tension. Assuming the brane tension $\hat{\sigma}$ to have initially taken the fine-tunned value giving rise to the zero effective cosmological constant on the brane, we can regard the mass density $\hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}$ satisfying $\hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} = -\hat{\wp}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}/c_0^2$ as being due to the the correction $\delta\hat{\sigma}$ to the fine-tuned brane tension. Nonzero $\delta\hat{\sigma}$ gives rise to nonzero effective cosmological constant $\Lambda_{\rm eff} = \frac{16\pi^2\hat{G}_5^2}{3c_0^8}\delta\hat{\sigma}^2$ in the four-dimensional universe on the brane. We separate the contribution to $\hat{\varrho}$ into that $\hat{\varrho}_m$ from the ordinary brane matter and that $\hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} = \delta\hat{\sigma}/c_0^2$ from the correction to the fine-tuned brane tension, i.e., $\hat{\varrho} = \hat{\varrho}_m + \hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}$. Then, the conservation equation (30) is modified to

$$\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_m + 3\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0}\left(\hat{\varrho}_m + \frac{\hat{\wp}_m}{c_0^2}\right) = -\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} - \frac{\dot{\hat{G}}_4}{\hat{G}_4}\hat{\varrho} + \left(3\frac{\hat{\wp}_m}{c_0^2} - \hat{\varrho}_m - 4\hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} + \frac{3\hat{k}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^2\varepsilon^2} - \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}. \tag{36}$$

To study the time evolution of the cosmological constant term in the Friedmann equations, we define $\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} \equiv \hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}/\hat{\varrho}_m$, whose $\hat{t}$-derivative is given by

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} = \epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}}{\hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}} - \frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_m}{\hat{\varrho}_m}\right). \tag{37}$$

Making use of the effective Friedmann equations and the conservation equation and assuming the equation of state of the form $\hat{\wp} = w\hat{\varrho}c_0^2$, we obtain

$$\frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}}{\hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}} = -6\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, \tag{38}$$

$$\frac{\dot{\hat{\varrho}}_m}{\hat{\varrho}_m} = -3\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}}{\hat{a}}(1+w) + (3w-1)\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} - 2\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\frac{\hat{\varrho}_c}{\hat{\varrho}_m} + 2\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\frac{\hat{\varrho}+\hat{\varrho}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}}{\hat{\varrho}_m} - \frac{\hat{\varrho}}{\hat{\varrho}_m}\frac{\dot{\hat{G}}_4}{\hat{G}_4} - \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4}\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}. \tag{39}$$

Substituting these into Eq. (37), we obtain the following equation describing the time evolution of $\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}$:

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} = \epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}\left[3\left(\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}_0}{\hat{a}_0} - \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)(1+w) + 2\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\frac{1+\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}}{1+\epsilon} + \left(\frac{\dot{\hat{G}}_4}{\hat{G}_4} - 4\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)(1+\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}) + \frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4}\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right]. \tag{40}$$

If we assume $|\dot{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon| \gg \dot{\hat{a}}_0/\hat{a}_0$, then Eq. (40) for the flat brane universe ($\epsilon = 0$) is approximated to

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} = \epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} \left[(1+\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}) \frac{\dot{\hat{G}}_4}{\hat{G}_4} + \left(\frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4} - 5 - 3w - 2\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} \right) \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \right]. \tag{41}$$

In order for $\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}$ to be driven to zero (so that the correction $\delta\hat{\sigma}$ to the fine-tuned brane tension does not grow with time) as the brane universe expands, the term in the square bracket has to be negative. With $\dot{\hat{G}}_4 = 0$, this can be achieved, if the following is satisfied:

$$\frac{3\hat{\mathcal{C}}c_0^2}{4\pi\hat{G}_4\hat{a}_0^4} > 5 + 3w + 2\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}}. \tag{42}$$

When $\dot{\hat{G}}_4 \neq 0$, the condition for $\epsilon_{\delta\hat{\sigma}} = 0$ being a stable attractor becomes less stringent.

References

[1] J.K. Webb, V.V. Flambaum, C.W. Churchill, M.J. Drinkwater and J.D. Barrow, "Evidence for time variation of the fine structure constant," Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 884, astro-ph/9803165.

[2] M.T. Murphy *et al.*, "Possible evidence for a variable fine structure constant from QSO absorption lines: motivations, analysis and results," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **327** (2001) 1208, astro-ph/0012419.

[3] J.K. Webb *et al.*, "Further evidence for cosmological evolution of the fine structure constant," Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 091301, astro-ph/0012539.

[4] J.W. Moffat, "Superluminary universe: A Possible solution to the initial value problem in cosmology," Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D2** (1993) 351, gr-qc/9211020.

[5] A. Albrecht and J. Magueijo, "Time varying speed of light as a solution to cosmological puzzles," Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 043516, astro-ph/9811018.

[6] A.H. Guth, "The inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems," Phys. Rev. **D23** (1981) 347.

[7] A.D. Linde, "A new inflationary universe scenario: A possible solution of The horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems," Phys. Lett. **B108** (1982) 389.

[8] A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, "Cosmology for grand unified theories with radiatively induced symmetry breaking," Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** (1982) 1220.

[9] J.D. Barrow, "Cosmologies with varying light speed," Phys. Rev. **D 59** (1999) 043515, astro-ph/9811022.

[10] J.D. Barrow and J. Magueijo, "Varying-alpha theories and solutions to the cosmological problems," Phys. Lett. **B443** (1998) 104, astro-ph/9811072.

[11] J.D. Barrow and J. Magueijo, "Solutions to the quasi-flatness and quasi-lambda Problems," Phys. Lett. **B447** (1999) 246, astro-ph/9811073.

[12] J.W. Moffat, "Varying light velocity as a solution to the problems in cosmology," astro-ph/9811390.

[13] M.A. Clayton and J.W. Moffat, "Dynamical mechanism for varying light velocity as a solution to cosmological problems," Phys. Lett. **B460** (1999) 263, astro-ph/9812481.

[14] J.D. Barrow and J. Magueijo, "Solving the flatness and quasi-flatness problems in Brans-Dicke cosmologies with a varying light speed," Class. Quant. Grav. **16** (1999) 1435, astro-ph/9901049.

[15] M.A. Clayton and J.W. Moffat, "Scalar-tensor gravity theory for dynamical light velocity," Phys. Lett. **B477** (2000) 269, gr-qc/9910112.

[16] B.A. Bassett, S. Liberati, C. Molina-Paris and M. Visser, "Geometrodynamics of variable speed of light cosmologies," Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 103518, astro-ph/0001441.

[17] M.A. Clayton and J.W. Moffat, "Vector field mediated models of dynamical light velocity," gr-qc/0003070.

[18] M.A. Clayton and J.W. Moffat, "A scalar-tensor cosmological model with dynamical light velocity," Phys. Lett. **B506** (2001) 177, gr-qc/0101126.

[19] I.T. Drummond, "Variable light-cone theory of gravity," gr-qc/9908058.

[20] J.D. Bekenstein, "Fine structure constant: Is it really a constant?," Phys. Rev. **D25** (1982) 1527.

[21] H.B. Sandvik, J.D. Barrow and J. Magueijo, "A simple varying-alpha cosmology," astro-ph/0107512.

[22] D. Youm, "Brane world cosmologies with varying speed of light," Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 125011, hep-th/0101228.

[23] D. Youm, "Variable-speed-of-light cosmology from brane world scenario," Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 085011, hep-th/0102194.

[24] D. Youm, "A scalar tensor bimetric brane world cosmology," hep-th/0108073.

[25] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370, hep-ph/9905221.

[26] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "An alternative to compactification," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690, hep-th/9906064.

[27] G. Kalbermann and H. Halevi, "Nearness through an extra dimension," gr-qc/9810083.

[28] E. Kiritsis, "Supergravity, D-brane probes and thermal super Yang-Mills: A comparison," JHEP**9910** (1999) 010, hep-th/9906206.

[29] G. Kalbermann, "Communication through an extra dimension," Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15** (2000) 3197, gr-qc/9910063.

[30] D.J. Chung and K. Freese, "Can geodesics in extra dimensions solve the cosmological horizon problem?," Phys. Rev. **D 62** (2000) 063513, hep-ph/9910235.

[31] S.H. Alexander, "On the varying speed of light in a brane-induced FRW universe," JHEP**0011** (2000) 017, hep-th/9912037.

[32] H. Ishihara, "Causality of the brane universe," Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 381, gr-qc/0007070.

[33] D.J. Chung, E.W. Kolb and A. Riotto, "Extra dimensions present a new flatness problem," hep-ph/0008126.

[34] C. Csaki, J. Erlich and C. Grojean, "Gravitational Lorentz violations and adjustment of the cosmological constant in asymmetrically warped spacetimes," Nucl. Phys. **B604** (2001) 312, hep-th/0012143.

[35] D. Youm, "A varying-alpha brane world cosmology," hep-th/0108237.

[36] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet and D. Langlois, "Non-conventional cosmology from a brane-universe," Nucl. Phys. **B565** (2000) 269, hep-th/9905012.

[37] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger and D. Langlois, "Brane cosmological evolution in a bulk with cosmological constant," Phys. Lett. **B477** (2000) 285, hep-th/9910219.

[38] E.E. Flanagan, S.H. Tye and I. Wasserman, "Cosmological expansion in the Randall-Sundrum brane world scenario," Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 044039, hep-ph/9910498.

[39] S. Mukohyama, "Brane-world solutions, standard cosmology, and dark radiation," Phys. Lett. **B473** (2000) 241, hep-th/9911165.

[40] D. Ida, "Brane-world cosmology," JHEP **0009** (2000) 014, gr-qc/9912002.

[41] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, C. Kolda and J. Terning, "Cosmology of one extra dimension with localized gravity," Phys. Lett. **B462** (1999) 34, hep-ph/9906513.

[42] J.M. Cline, C. Grojean and G. Servant, "Cosmological expansion in the presence of extra dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4245, hep-ph/9906523.